

Wednesday, August 10, 2016

The Probate Examiner's Office recommends approval of the following Probate matters:

17 16CEPR00686 Leona Marie Douthit (Estate)

19 16CEPR00694 Terrance R. Alexander and Joyce Alexander Revocable Living Trust

The following cases have been taken off calendar:

3 09CEPR00761 Rose Ketendjian (CONS/PE)
 7 13CEPR00521 Delia Valencia (CONS/PE)

Appearance of counsel is recommended for all remaining matters set for hearing. Thank you.

1 Gale Maria Shumavon (CONS/PE)

Case No. 0645464

Attorney: Jeffrey D. Simonian (for Conservator Douglas H. Shumavon)

Attorney: Alice M. Dostalova-Busick (proposed attorney for Douglas H. Shumavon)

Status Hearing for the Filing of the Annual or Biennial Account.

The Fifth Account and Report was approved on 1/15/13, Cont. from Aff. Sub. Wit. ✓ Verified Inventory PTC Not. Cred. Notice of Status Hearing dated 6/22/16 set this status hearing for the filing of the sixth account. Copy of the Notice of Status Hearing was mailed to Attorney Jeffrey D. Simonian and Conservator Douglas Shumavon on 6/22/16. Aff. Mail Aff. Pub. Sp. Ntc. Pers. Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA On March 31, 2016, Wright and Wright Seth Mr. Simonian a equest for all of the records the Conservator had provided him in support of the Sixth Accounting. Wight and Wright seth Mr. Simonian a request for all of the records the Conservator had provided him in support of the Sixth Accounting. Wight and Wright seth Mr. Simonian a substitution of counsel form requesting Mr. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Conservator and wright and Wright seth Mr. Simonian a substitution of counsel form requesting Mr. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Comments: 1. This matter has a financial balance due of \$400.00 for Court Investigation fees assessed on 6/28/12. 2. Status Report does not include a proof of service indicating it was served an all necessary parties as required by Local Rule 7.5B 2. Status Report does not include a proof of service indicating it was served an all necessary parties assessed on 6/28/12. 2. Status Report does not include a proof of service indicating it was served an all necessary parties assessed on 6/28/12. 2. Status Report does not include a proof of service indicating it was served an all necessary parties assessed on 6/28/12. Status Report fled by Douglas Shumavon on 7/29/16 states between August 2014 and May of 2015 he communicated with his attorney Jeffrey blocal matter assessed on 6/28/12. Status Report does not include a proof of service indicating it was served an all necessary parties assessed on 6/28/12. 2. Status Report does not include a proof of service			DOUGLAS SHUMAVON, brother, is Conservator.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/
The Fifth Account and Report was approved on 1/15/13, Cont. from Aff.Sub.Wiit. ✓ Verified Inventory PTC Copy of the Notice of Status Hearing dated 6/22/16 set this status hearing for the filling of the sixth account. Copy of the Notice of Status Hearing was mailed to Attorney Jeffrey D. Simonian and Conservator Douglas Shumavon on 6/22/16. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Dutles/Supp Objections Video Receipt Aff. Posting Status Report Aff. Posting Status Report Aff. Posting Status Report Aff. Posting Status Report filed by Douglas Shumavon on 7/29/16 states between August 2014 and May of 2015 he communicated with his attorney Jeffrey Simonian, regarding the various information assured the Conservator that he was completing the Sixth Accounting. As of the beginning of this year, Mr. Simonian sill had not prepared the Sixth Accounting. As a of the Conservator sought assistance of other Counsel, Wright and Wright Atland Wright Atland Wright Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice The Fifth Account and Report was cash. Investigation fees sassessed on 6/28/12. 2. Status Report does not include a proof of service indicating it was served and line account. All May of 2015 he communicated with his attorney Jeffrey Simonian assured the Conservator support in the Sixth Accounting. As a of the beginning of this year, Mr. Simonian sill had not prepared the Sixth Accounting. As a counting as promised, the Conservator sought assistance of other Counsel, Wright and Wright Statempted to contact MR. Simonian by letter, email and telephone on several occasions all to no avail. Reviewed by: KT Reviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 8/8/16 Updates: Recommendation: File 1- Shumavon Simonican a substitution of counsel form requesting Mr. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter.				
1/15/13, Property on hand at the end of the Fifth Account was \$815,224.84 of which \$12,096.21 was cash.			The Fifth Account and Report was approved on	
Conf. from Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Notice of Status Hearing dated 6/22/16 set this status hearing for the filling of the sixth account. Copy of the Notice of Status Hearing was mailed to Attorney Jeffrey D. Simonian and Conservator Douglas Shumavon on 6/22/16. Notice of Hrg Status Report filed by Douglas Shumavon on 7/29/16 states between August 2014 and May of 2015 he communicated with his attorney Jeffrey Simonian, regarding the various information necessary for the Sixth Account. Mr. Simonian assured the Conservator that he was completing the Sixth Accounting. As a result of Mr. Simonian's failure to prepare the Sixth Accounting in this matter. Beginning March 21, 2016 Conservator and Wright and Wright Status Rpt UCCJEA On March 31, 2016, Wright and Wright sent Mr. Simonian a request for all of the records the Sixth Accounting of the records the Conservator had provided him in support of the Sixth Accounting. Reviewed by: KT Recommendation: FTB Notice Sixth Accounting Wright and Wright sent Mr. Simonian a substitution of counsel form requesting Mr. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matt			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1. This matter has a
Aff. Sub. Wit. ✓ Verified Notice of Status Hearing dated 6/22/16 set this status hearing for the filing of the sixth account. Copy of the Notice of Status Hearing was mailed to Attorney Jeffrey D. Simonian and Conservator Douglas Shumavon on 6/22/16. Notice of Hrg Aff. Mail Aff. Pub. Sp. Ntc. Pers. Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Dutles/Supp Objections Video Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Status Report filed by Douglas Shumavon on 7/29/18 states between August 2014 and May of 2015 he communicated with his attorney Jeffrey Simonian, regarding the various information necessary for the Sixth Account. Mr. Simonian assured the Conservator that he was completing the Sixth Accounting. As of the beginning of this year, Mr. Simonian sill had not prepared the Sixth Accounting. As a result of Mr. Simonian's failure to prepare the Sixth Accounting as promised, the Conservator sought Receipt Aff. Posting Status Report filed by Douglas Shumavon on 7/29/18 states between August 2014 and May of 2015 he communicated with his attorney Jeffrey Simonian assured the Sixth Accounting. As a result of Mr. Simonian's failure to prepare the Sixth Accounting as promised, the Conservator sought Receipt and telephone on several occasions all to no avail. Sixth Accounting and telephone on several occasions all to no avail. Status Rept UCCJEA On March 31, 2016, Wright and Wright sent Mr. Simonian a request for all of the records the Conservator had provided him in support of the Sixth Accounting. Wright and Wright also sent Mr. Simonian a substitution of counsel form requesting Mr. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter.			4	
Notice of Status Hearing dated 6/22/16 set this status hearing for the filing of the sixth account. Copy of the Notice of Status Hearing was mailed to Attorney Jeffrey D. Simonian and Conservator Douglas Shumavon on 6/22/16. Notice of Hrg				·
Inventory			was \$815,224.84 of which \$12,096.21 was cash.	<u> </u>
Inventory	✓	Verified	Notice of Status Hearing dated 4/22/14 set this	assessed on 6/28/12.
PTC		Inventory	_	2 Status Report does not
Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Mail Aff.Nail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Conf. Screen Letters Dutles/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA On March 31, 2016, Wright and Wright Status Rpt UCCJEA Conservator had provided him in support of the Sixth Accounting. Wright and Wright also sent Mr. Simonian a requesting Mr. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Notice of Hrg Status Report filed by Douglas Shumavon on 7/29/16 states between August 2014 and May of 2015 he communicated with his attorney Jeffrey Simonian, regarding the various information necessary for the Sixth Account. Mr. Simonian assured the Conservator that he was completing the Sixth Accounting. As a result of Mr. Simonian's failure to prepare the Sixth Accounting as promised, the Conservator sought assistance of other Counsel, Wright and Wright and Wright at telephone on several occasions all to no avail. Reviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 8/8/16 Updates: Recommendation: File 1- Shumavon		PTC	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>
Notice of Hrg			–	service indicating it was
Hrg			Douglas Shumavon on 6/22/16.	served on all necessary
Aff. Mail Aff. Mail Aff. Pub. Sp. Ntc. Sp. Ntc. Pers. Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice Aff. Not				
Aff. Pub. 2015 he communicated with his attorney Jeffrey Simonian, regarding the various information necessary for the Sixth Account. Mr. Simonian assured the Conservator that he was completing the Sixth Accounting. Letters			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Local Rule 7.5B
Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Simonian, regarding the various information necessary for the Sixth Account. Mr. Simonian assured the Conservator that he was completing the Sixth Accounting. Letters				
Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice Pers.Serv. necessary for the Sixth Account. Mr. Simonian assured the Conservator that he was completing the Sixth Accounting. As of the beginning of this year, Mr. Simonian sill had not prepared the Sixth Accounting. As a result of Mr. Simonian's failure to prepare the Sixth Accounting as promised, the Conservator sought assistance of other Counsel, Wright and Wright Attorneys at Law, to bring the current accounting in this matter. Beginning March 21, 2016 Conservator and Wright and Wright5 attempted to contact MR. Simonian by letter, email and telephone on several occasions all to no avail. Status Rpt UCCJEA On March 31, 2016, Wright and Wright sent Mr. Simonian a request for all of the records the Conservator had provided him in support of the Sixth Accounting. Wright and Wright also sent Mr. Simonian a substitution of counsel form requesting Mr. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter.			, , ,	
Conf. Screen Screen Screen Screen Screen Sixth Accounting.			<u> </u>	
the Sixth Accounting. Letters As of the beginning of this year, Mr. Simonian sill had not prepared the Sixth Accounting. As a result of Mr. Simonian's failure to prepare the Sixth Accounting as promised, the Conservator sought assistance of other Counsel, Wright and Wright Attorneys at Law, to bring the current accounting in this matter. Beginning March 21, 2016 Conservator and Wright and Wright5 attempted to contact MR. Simonian by letter, email and telephone on several occasions all to no avail. Citation Simonian a request for all of the records the Conservator had provided him in support of the Sixth Accounting. Wright and Wright also sent Mr. Simonian a substitution of counsel form requesting Mr. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter.			· ·	
Letters			the Sixth Accounting.	
Duties/Supp had not prepared the Sixth Accounting. As a result of Mr. Simonian's failure to prepare the Sixth Accounting as promised, the Conservator sought assistance of other Counsel, Wright and Wright Attorneys at Law, to bring the current accounting in this matter. Beginning March 21, 2016 Conservator and Wright and Wright5 attempted to contact MR. Simonian by letter, email and telephone on several occasions all to no avail. Reviewed by: KT			As of the beginning of this was as A4s Cine as issued!!	
Objections result of Mr. Simonian's failure to prepare the Sixth Accounting as promised, the Conservator sought assistance of other Counsel, Wright and Wright Attorneys at Law, to bring the current accounting in this matter. Beginning March 21, 2016 Order		Duties/Supp		
Video Receipt Accounting as promised, the Conservator sought assistance of other Counsel, Wright and Wright Attorneys at Law, to bring the current accounting in this matter. Beginning March 21, 2016 Conservator and Wright and Wright5 attempted to contact MR. Simonian by letter, email and telephone on several occasions all to no avail. Reviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 8/8/16 UCCJEA On March 31, 2016, Wright and Wright sent Mr. Citation Simonian a request for all of the records the Conservator had provided him in support of the Sixth Accounting. Wright and Wright also sent Mr. Simonian a substitution of counsel form requesting Mr. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. File 1- Shumavon			• • •	
assistance of other Counsel, Wright and Wright Attorneys at Law, to bring the current accounting in this matter. Beginning March 21, 2016 Conservator and Wright and Wright5 attempted to contact MR. Simonian by letter, email and telephone on several occasions all to no avail. Status Rpt UCCJEA On March 31, 2016, Wright and Wright sent Mr. Citation FTB Notice On March 31, 2016, Wright and Wright sent Mr. Simonian a request for all of the records the Conservator had provided him in support of the Sixth Accounting. Wright and Wright also sent Mr. Simonian a substitution of counsel form requesting Mr. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Reviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 8/8/16 Updates: Recommendation: File 1- Shumavon			=	
Attorneys at Law, to bring the current accounting in this matter. Beginning March 21, 2016 Conservator and Wright and Wright5 attempted to contact MR. Simonian by letter, email and telephone on several occasions all to no avail. Status Rpt UCCJEA On March 31, 2016, Wright and Wright sent Mr. Simonian a request for all of the records the Conservator had provided him in support of the Sixth Accounting. Wright and Wright also sent Mr. Simonian a substitution of counsel form requesting Mr. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Attorneys at Law, to bring the current accounting in this matter. 2016 Conservator and Wrights attempted to contact MR. Simonian by letter, email and telephone on several occasions all to no avail. Reviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 8/8/16 Updates: Recommendation: File 1- Shumavon				
P202 In this matter. Beginning March 21, 2016 Conservator and Wright and Wright5 attempted to contact MR. Simonian by letter, email and telephone on several occasions all to no avail. Reviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 8/8/16 UCCJEA On March 31, 2016, Wright and Wright sent Mr. Simonian a request for all of the records the Conservator had provided him in support of the Sixth Accounting. Wright and Wright also sent Mr. Simonian a substitution of counsel form requesting Mr. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. File 1- Shumavon			- I	
Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice To contact MR. Simonian by letter, email and telephone on several occasions all to no avail. To contact MR. Simonian by letter, email and telephone on several occasions all to no avail. Reviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 8/8/16 Updates: Recommendation: File 1- Shumavon File 1- Shumavon				
Status Rpt telephone on several occasions all to no avail. Reviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 8/8/16 UCCJEA On March 31, 2016, Wright and Wright sent Mr. Simonian a request for all of the records the Conservator had provided him in support of the Sixth Accounting. Wright and Wright also sent Mr. Simonian a substitution of counsel form requesting Mr. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Reviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 8/8/16 Updates: Recommendation: Reviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 8/8/16 Updates: Recommendation: Reviewed by: KT Reviewe		Order	,	
Status Rpt		Aff. Posting	· ·	Reviewed by: KT
Citation Simonian a request for all of the records the Conservator had provided him in support of the Sixth Accounting. Wright and Wright also sent Mr. Simonian a substitution of counsel form requesting Mr. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. Recommendation: File 1- Shumavon				Reviewed on: 8/8/16
FTB Notice Conservator had provided him in support of the Sixth Accounting. Wright and Wright also sent Mr. Simonian a substitution of counsel form requesting Mr. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter. File 1- Shumavon		UCCJEA	On March 31, 2016, Wright and Wright sent Mr.	Updates:
Sixth Accounting. Wright and Wright also sent Mr. Simonian a substitution of counsel form requesting Mr. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter.				Recommendation:
Simonian a substitution of counsel form requesting Mr. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter.		FTB Notice		File 1- Shumavon
requesting Mr. Simonian withdraw as counsel of record in this matter.				
record in this matter.				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Please see additional page			Please see additional page	

1 Gale Maria Shumavon (CONS/PE)

Case No. 0645464

On April 8, 2016, Mr. Simonian responded to the letter stating he would gather the documents and contact Wright & Wright when they would be ready to pick up.

As of April 18, 2016, Wright & Wright had not heard from Mr. Simonian, nor received any records in this matter from Mr. Simonian. On that date, Wright & Wright sent another letter to Mr. Simonian asking when the documents requested would be ready to pick up. Mr. Simonian did not respond to this request.

On April 29, 2016, Wright & Wright again followed up on its March 31, 2016 request to no avail.

ON or about May 17, 2016, the Conservator telephoned Mr. Simonian and emailed him requesting the files in this matter.

On May 20, 2016, the Conservator and Mr. Simonian had lunch to discuss this matter at which time Mr. Simonian requested an opportunity to complete and file the Sixth Accounting by the end of May. The Conservator agreed to give Mr. Simonian a final chance to complete the accounting that Mr. Simonian represented to the Conservator was virtually complete.

As of June 16, 2016, no accounting has been submitted to the Conservator or filed with the Court. On that date, Wright & Wright again followed up with Mr. Simonian. No response was received to this correspondence.

On June 24, 2016, the Conservator emailed and called Mr. Simonian requesting the files again to which he did not receive any response.

On July 6, 2016, Wright & Wright sent another letter to Mr. Simonian requesting the records and noting the issues that Mr. Simonian's retention of various original accounting information may hinder the Conservator's ability to prepare the Sixth Accounting. The letter again requested that Mr. Simonian sign the substitution of counsel.

As of the date of this Status Report neither the Conservator nor Wright & Wright have received any response from Mr. Simonian with regard to the status of the documents requested or the accounting Mr. Simonian promised to prepare and file.

At this time, the Conservator has engaged the services of Wright & Wright to prepare the accounting for the period of 2012 through 2013, as well as 2014 through 2015 and bring this file current.

Unfortunately, without the records that are in the possession of Mr. Simonian, it may be difficult and in some instances impossible to obtain the necessary information to compete the Sixth Accounting to the satisfaction of the Court. Nevertheless, the Conservator and Wright & Wright are working to prepare an accounting that will bring the accountings in this conservatorship current.

2 Clifford Melvin Bethel (CONS/E)

Case No. 09CEPR00277

Attorney: Heather H. Kruthers (for Conservator Public Guardian)

Probate Status Hearing RE: Filing of the Fourth Account

		PUBLIC GUARDIAN is Conservator of the Estate.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		The Third Account was approved on	
Сс	ont. from	= 10/21/14.	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Minute order dated 10/21/14 set this	
	Verified	status hearing for the filing of the fourth	
	Inventory	account.	
	PTC	Status Report filed on 8/5/16 states the	
	Not.Cred.	Public Guardian prepared the	
	Notice of	necessary schedules for the account	
	Hrg	and County Counsel prepared and	
	Aff.Mail	sent the account for signature to the	
	Aff.Pub.	Public Guardian. However, before the	
	Sp.Ntc.	account could be signed the Conservatee died on 7/31/16.	
	Pers.Serv.	Conservance alea on 7701710.	
	Conf.	Public Guardian therefore requests a	
	Screen	45-day continuance in order to prepare	
	Letters	the fourth and final account rather than	
	Duties/Supp	filing a fourth account now and a fifth account in rapid succession.	
	Objections	=	
	Video		
	Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202	4	
	Order	4	Deviewed by VT
	Aff. Posting	=	Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt UCCJEA	4	Reviewed on: 8/5/16 Updates:
	Citation	4	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice	=	File 2- Bethel

Conservator: Ketendjian, Ka'ren Vartan

Probate Status Hearing re: Filing of the Annual or Biennial Account.

	riodale sidius nearing le. Filling of the An	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		NEEDS/TROBLEMS/COMMENTS.
		OFF CALENDAR. Third Account
		filed and set for hearing on
Comb from		9/7/16.
Cont. from		7,7,710.
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of		
Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf.		
Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: KT
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 8/5/16
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 3- Ketendijan

4 Veronica Montes, Gabriel Montes, Israel Montes (GUARD/P) Case No. 11CEPR00065

Petitioner Mosqueda, Arthur Alexander, JR (Pro Per – Maternal Uncle)

Petitioner Mosqueda, Christine Diane (Pro Per – Maternal Aunt)

Objector Mosqueda, Anita (Pro Per – Mother) Objector Montes, Steven (Pro Per – Brother)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

			NO TEMPORARY REQUESTED	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			NO ILIVII ORAKT REQUESTED	NEEDS/TROBLEMS/COMMENTS.
	nt. from 072016		ARTHUR ALEXANDER MOSQUEDA, and CHRISTINE DIANE MOSQUEDA, maternal uncle and aunt are petitioners.	Note: Petition pertains to Gabriel and Israel Montes only. Petitioners, Arthur and Christine Mosqueda,
<u> </u>				were appointed guardians of
-	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Please see petition for details	Veronica Montes on 12/09/2015.
✓	Verified			Minute Order of 07/20/2016: Steven
	Inventory			Montes also present in court.
	PTC			Petitioners are allowed to mail
	Not.Cred.			notice to father at the address Ms.
1	Notice of Hrg			Sanchez provided. Objection
1	Aff.Mail			needs to be served on petitioner by 07/27/2016 or the court will strike the
	Aff.Pub.			objection. Responses must be filed by 08/03/2016.
	Sp.Ntc.			Dy 00/03/2016.
	Pers.Serv.	Χ		Need proof of personal
1	Conf. Screen			service fifteen (15) days prior to the hearing of the Notice
✓	Letters			of Hearing along with a copy
✓	Duties/Supp			of the Petition for Appointment of Guardian or
	Objections			consent and waiver of notice
	Video			for:
	Receipt			Genaro Evaristo Montes Gentra al Litale de Maria
✓	CI Report			(Father) – Unless the Court dispenses with
1	9202			notice.
ľ				Note: Affidavit of Unsuccessful
	Order			Service filed 07/05/2016, states
				"defendant moved."
				Please see additional page
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: LV
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 08/08/2016
1	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 4- Montes

4(additional page) Veronica Montes, Gabriel Montes, Israel Montes (GUARD/P) Case No. 11CEPR00065

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS (continued):

2. Need proof of service of Declaration filed 08/08/2016 by Petitioners, Arthur Mosqueda and Christine Mosqueda, on all interested parties.

For Objectors Anita Mosqueda and Steven Montes:

- 1. Need proof of service of Objections to Guardianship on the following:
 - Arthur Mosqueda (Petitioner)
 - Christine Mosqueda (Petitioner)

Attorney: Jeffrey L. Wall

First and Final Account and Report of Administrator and Petition for its Settlement for and award of Commissions and fees and Final Distribution

DC	D: 12/7/11	GLORIA HAGOPIAN, Successor	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	70. 12/7/11	Administrator, is petitioner.	
Co	nt. from 062916	Accounting - \$166,361.50 Beginning POH - \$166,279.84	On 5/11/15 the Court accepted the Report of former Administrator Kirk Hagopian but did not approve of or ratify his acts as the
		Ending POH - \$166,361.50	former administrator. In addition
1	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Administrator - \$5,990.85 (statutory)	the Court ordered a surcharge of \$9,500 was warranted due to the
1	Inventory	Costs (Gloria Hagopian)- \$2,521.58	misappropriation of estate assets.
Ė	_	(filing fee, taxes, publication)	Mr. Wall was to prepare an order
✓	PTC	(IIIII 19 100, Taxos, poblication)	to be circulated and approved
√	Not.Cred.	Attorney - \$5,990.85 (statutory)	as to form and content. The order as not been submitted for
1	Notice of	Attorney X/O - \$8,070.00	approval and this final
	Hrg	(sale of real property, attempts to	accounting does not address the
1	Aff.Mail W/	recover misappropriated assets,	surcharge of Kirk Hagopian.
	Aff Dub	defense of heirship petition)	O Proposed distribution provides
	Aff.Pub.	Costs (Wall) - \$724.63	2. Proposed distribution provides that 1/11th share is to be set aside
	Sp.Ntc.	(certified copies, copies, postage)	for Michael Milano. The funds will
	Pers.Serv.		be held at the Fresno County
	Conf.	Attorney (Leigh Burnside) - \$13,545.00	Office of Auditor-Controller-
	Screen	(hired by Administrator Kirk Hagopian to	Treasurer-Tax Collector until
	Letters	file a lawsuit to recover misappropriate	Michael can be located. If he
	Duties/Supp	assets.)	cannot be located the funds will
	Objections	Costs (Burnside) - \$2,450.18	escheat to the State of California.
ř		(service of process, publication,	The distribution for Michael Milano
	Video	subpoenas)	should only escheat to the State
	Receipt	Distribution, pursuant to Order	of California if there are no known
	CI Report	Determining Heirship, is to:	beneficiaries of the decedent. In
1	9202	Beterrining Hensing, is 10.	this case here are other heirs. If
1	Order	Brandenburger and Davis all of ten heirs shares in the sum of \$11,551.67 each;	Michael Milano cannot be located within 5 years then the property should be allowed to
		\$11,551.67 to be set aside for Michael Milano. The funds will be held at the	distribute to the other known heirs.
		Fresno County Office of Auditor-	Please see additional page
	Aff. Posting	Controller-Treasurer-Tax Collector until	Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt	Michael can be located. If he cannot	Reviewed on: 8/8/16
	UCCJEA	be located the funds will escheat to the	Updates:
	Citation	State of California.	Recommendation:
1	FTB Notice		File 5- Smart

5 Cheryl A. Smart (Estate)

Case No. 12CEPR00468

- 3. Order distributes the shares of all ten heirs represented by Brandenburger and Davis, to be distributed to their counsel Michael Morris, to distribute to the person entitled thereto. It appears that the estate should be distributed directly to the heirs, pursuant to the Order to Determine Heirship filed on 6/23/16 with Brandenburger and Davis receiving a 1/3 share of their clients' distribution, pursuant to the order.
- 4. The accounting does not include the judgment against Gaylene Bolanos, Leroy Combs, Jeffrey Jackson and Lowell Weldon in the amount of \$154,721.10. Even though the administrator and her attorney feel the debt is uncollectable it should still be distributed to the heirs and they can pursue collection if they choose.
- 5. Reply to Objections filed by Jeffrey Wall on 8/2/16 are not verified or signed by the fiduciary Gloria Hagopian. Probate Code §1021.

Objection to First and Final Account and Report of Administrator filed on 6/28/16 by Brandenburger and Davis states "An award of extraordinary compensation to the personal representative or to the attorney for the personal representative is within the discretion of the court." (Cal.Rules of Court, Rule 7.703) Among other things, the Petition does not show the benefit of services to the estate. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 7.702(3), see also, Cal Probate Code §10811(c)(3).)

Under Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 7.703, the court may consider the amount of statutory compensation when determining a request for extraordinary compensation. The first and final account request payment of statutory commission to Gloria Hagopian for \$5,990.85 and statutory fees to Attorney Jeffrey Wall for \$5,990.85. Kirk Hagopian was appointed Administrator by the Court on 7/26/12. In Mr. Hagopian's First and Final Account, he provided a list of advances made by him. The list included and expenditure of \$9,500 to an attorney Jeff Hammerschmidt. In May, 2015, Fresno County Public Administrator ("PA") was appointed as temporary successor administrator for the estate for the limited purpose of determining why money was paid to an attorney without a court order. The PA concluded that Mr. Hagopian had retained Mr. Hammerschmidt to work on a criminal matter involving Mr. Hagopian and that "surcharge of \$9,500 is warranted against Mr. Hagopian for the misappropriation of estate assets." Although Mr. Hagopian's mother, Gloria Hagopian, petitioned to qualify as Administrator of the Decedent's estate on 5/27/14, all of the inventories where filed by Kirk Hagopian and not Gloria Hagopian. Therefore, Gloria Hagopian did nothing to marshal estate assets. If any commissions earned by Kirk Hagopian are instead apportioned to Gloria Hagopian, then Gloria Hagopian should be surcharged by the \$9,500 that was misappropriated by her son, Kirk Hagopian, as well.

Please see additional page

Objection to First and Final Account and Report of Administrator filed on 6/28/16 by Brandenburger and Davis (cont.): The law firm of Dowling Aaron Inc. ("Dowling Aaron") was retained by Kirk Hagopian in his capacity as Administrator to file a lawsuit against Gaylene Bolanos, Lowell Weldon, Leroy Combs and Jeffrey Jackson to recover funds of \$10,268.71, which were allegedly misappropriated from the estate. Although there are no complaints about the quality of the services performed, or the actual rates or services set forth in the Declaration of Leigh Burnside, the services for which they were retained did not advance the estate nor were they in the best interests of the interested persons of the estate. Ms. Burnside's Declaration states that shortly after being retained, arrangements were made to serve two of the four defendants in federal district court "where they had been indicted on various charges related to conspiracies to commit tax fraud." The third defendant could not be located and the fourth defendant was deceased. Thus, the recover and collectability of the \$10,268.71 was known to be doubtful at the time of pursuing the claim. Nevertheless extraordinary fees are sought for those services in the sum of \$12,545.00 plus costs of \$2.450.15. (In fact, the fees sought exceed the amount of judgment obtained.) The fees did not benefit the estate and the extraordinary fees sought on behalf of Dowling Aaron should be stricken.

Administrator's counsel, Jeffrey Wall, also requests extraordinary fees in the amount of \$8,070.00. The request is based in part on the "considerable time spent" opposing the Petition to Determine Heirship. The opposition called into question the paternal rights to implications made by the Administrator that Decedent was an illegitimate child of another and that he paternal heirs had no entitlements to the Estate. The Administrator's claim that Decedent's parents were never married was the sole grounds for the opposition. In support of the opposition, the current Administrator provided the court with a declaration, which was signed under oath, which has been shown to be completely fallacious.

Counsel claims the lack of production of Decedent's parents' marriage certificate with the Petition for Heirship cause him to conduct additional legal research. Although the Administrator and Mr. Wall now concede the entitlement of the paternal heirs, there was never a reason to question the marriage of the Decedent's parents until the declaration of Gloria Hagopian. As such, the extraordinary fees requested by Jeffrey Wall on behalf of the Administrator to oppose the Petition for Heirship are not just and reasonable and should be denied.

At the initial hearing on the Petition to Determine Heirship. Counsel for the current Administrator announced for the first time that he had been informed that a maternal cousin existed, but he did not know of his whereabouts. Paul Hefti, who is a professional genealogist with Brandenburger and Davis, which is a genealogical search company, conducted a thorough search for maternal heirs. On 10/20/15 the Declaration in support of the Petition to Determine Heirship was of Paul Hefti was filed and served. The Declaration provided information about the searches that were conducted and concluded that despite the extensive records and research capabilities, no maternal heirs were located. Despite this, counsel for the Administrator continued to search for a "missing maternal heir" by the name of Michael Milano. No such heir having been located, Counsel for the Administrator now submits a request for extraordinary fees in the amount of \$8,070.00, which represents 26.9 hours at \$300.00 per hour, 9.6 of which were related to attempts to recover misappropriated estate property and 12.6 of which were related to opposing the Petition for Heirship (No objection is made to the remaining 4.7 hours for the sale of the residence.) Because the extraordinary fees are discretionary, and in consideration of the considerable time and loss to the estate by the mishandling of the estate by the Administrator, Brandenburger and Davis request that the full request for extraordinary fees made on behalf of the Administrator be denied.

Please see additional page

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Wednesday, August 10, 2016

Reply to Objection to First and Final Account filed on 8/2/16 by Jeffrey Wall as Attorney for Gloria Hagopian. Mr. Wall states Brandenburger's Objection was submitted in the form of a declaration by its attorney, Collette A. Hillier. The Hillier declaration does not say it was made on information and belief, and does not show the statements made therein were based on the personal knowledge of the declarant. Therefore, no part of the declaration should be considered.

Gloria Hagopian is entitled to statutory compensation. Brandenburger argues that she should be denied compensation because the estate inventories were filed when her son, Kirk Hagopian was administrator, and therefore she did not "marshall assets." No authority is cited by Brandenburger for this proposition. It should be noted that Kirk Hagopian was denied a commission by this court for his tenure as Administrator and consequently, no other persons are claiming a portion of the statutory commission.

There are no grounds for surcharging Gloria Hagopian. Brandenburger makes the further contention that Gloria Hagopian should be surcharged \$9,500 because in 2014 this Court had surcharged her son in that amount. The logic behind this contention is not explained and Brandenburger has not cited any authority for it proposition.

Brandenburger argues that this Court should deny the extraordinary compensation requested by Dowling Aaron for it services in attempting to recover funds misappropriated from the estate by Gaylene Bolanos and others. Brandenburger asserts that the fee request should be rejected because it did not advance the estate nor were they in the best interest of interested persons. However, if the Administrator had taken no action in the face of evidence that money was, in effect, stolen from the estate, she could have been properly been accused of failing to protect the estate. She had a duty to pursue the offenders, at least until it could be determined whether recovery would be feasible. Brandenburger makes the entirely unsupported assertion that "the recovery and collectiblity (sic)" of the money taken "was known to be doubtful at the time of pursuing the claim." If all four defendants had obtained a bankruptcy discharge that would clearly have been a reason for abandoning the claim. Otherwise, it was prudent for the Administrator and her attorney at least to serve the papers on the wrongdoers, take their defaults, and obtain entry of a judgment thereon. Those are the steps taken by Dowling Aaron, and it should be compensated in accordance with its request.

Brandenburger also opposes Jeffrey Wall's request for extraordinary compensation. Brandenburger asserts that the Administrator's declaration "has been shown to be completely fallacious." This assertion is entirely unsupported. No evidence whatsoever has been adduced to contradict any part of either of the Administrator's declarations. The Heirship Petition did not allege that Paul Papa (decedent's father) and the decedent's mother were ever married and the exhibits attached thereto did not include a marriage certificate between Delores Milano and Paul Papa. That fact was mentioned for the first time in Brandenburger's Reply Brief dated 9/9/15. Prior to that, the Administrator's attorney had already spent a considerable amount of time researching and opposing the Heirship Petition before the Administrator or her attorney were made aware of the marriage certificate. Therefore, it is highly unfair that Brandenburger should be attacking Mr. Wall's extraordinary fee request for preparing an opposition to the Heirship Petition when the key piece of information that was determinative of heirship was not presented by Brandenburger until its Reply Brief.

Please see additional page

Reply to Objection to First and Final Account filed on 8/2/16 by Jeffrey Wall as Attorney for Gloria Hagopian (cont): Brandenburger's second ground for opposing extraordinary compensation for Mr. Wall is that, because Brandenburger's own professional genealogist (Paul Hefti) was unable to locate any other heirs that proves no other heirs exists. Mr. Hefti's declaration does not show whether he investigated any records or resources that might have led him to an heir living in Japan. Besides, Brandenburger has a vested interest in not performing a diligent search or records to locate Michael Milano.

No legal authority has been shown why the Administrator's commission request and her attorneys' request for extraordinary fees should not be awarded. On the contrary, the evidence property before the Court shows all three requests to be in order, and that the requests for extraordinary fees are just a reasonable.

Reply to Objection to First and Final Account filed on 8/4/16 by Leigh Burnside as former Attorney for Gloria Hagopian. Attorney contends that Brandenburger relies on the wrong statute in evaluate her firm's request for fees. Probate Code §10811(c)(3), which governs a request for extraordinary compensation when the attorney's serves were provided pursuant to a contingency fee agreement. There is no contingency fee agreement between her firm and the Administrator. Rather, the applicable standard for evaluating Dowling Aaron's request for extraordinary attorney's fees is stated in Probate Code §10811(a), which provides the Court may allow extraordinary compensation, "in an amount the court determines is just and reasonable."

Further, Brandenburger mistakenly assets that the lawsuit filed against Respondents Gaylene Bolanos, Leroy Combs, Jeffrey Jackson and Lowell Weldon sought to recover the amount of \$10,268.71, on the contrary, the Petition alleged the estate had been damaged in an amount no less than \$150,000. Ultimately the complete amount of damages proved by the Administrator and awarded by the Court was \$154,721.10, plus prejudgment interest from March 1, 2012, to the date of the entry of the judgment, which amounted to \$38,010.53. The Court also awarded costs in the amount of \$2,450.18. Accordingly, the estate requested and obtained a sizeable judgment against the Respondents. The judgment is enforceable for ten years (and can be renewed thereafter), can be distributed to the heirs and, should the heirs so choose, they can seek to enforce the judgment and collect any assets that come into the possession of Respondents.

Further, it should be noticed that Brandenburger filed a request for special notice in this proceeding on 9/10/12, and subsequently attended various court hearings by telephone, during which counsel never voiced an objection to the conduct of the litigation proceedings.

Finally, as an accommodation to the heirs, Ms. Burnside states she substantially discounted the account of fees sought from the estate for services provided by her firm, and thus she believes the amount requested is extremely fair and reasonable. The heirs will receive a substantial judgment which they can seek to enforce and which they can renew every 10 years until the judgment is satisfied. For those reasons, Ms. Burnside respectfully requests the Court approve her firms request for fees in the amount of \$13,545.00 and costs in the amount of \$2.450.18.

Jeanne E. Brazeal (Estate)

Case No. 12CEPR00837

Attorney

Garcia, Robert P. (of San Francisco, for Dyanna Matthews – Executor – Petitioner)

Third and Final Report of Personal Representative and Petition for Final Distribution, for Allowance of Statutory Compensation and Extraordinary Compensation to Personal Representative and for Allowance of Attorney's Extraordinary and Statutory Compensation; for Reimbursement of Costs to Personal Representative and Attorney and to Recoup Sums due to the Estate from the Bequest to Donald Brazeal

DOD: 2/23/09		DYANNA MATTHEWS, Executor with Limited IAEA without bond, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS:
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Account period: 3/1/15 - 4/30/16 Accounting: \$43,573.71 Beginning POH: \$43,573.71 Ending POH: \$43,573.71	Note: Petitioner's Amendment filed 7/25/16 change the distribution figures slightly. The
> >	Verified Inventory PTC	Executor (Statutory): \$5,062.00 Executor (Extraordinary): \$1,000.00	Amendment was served on all interested parties on 7/11/16.
>	Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg	(for sale of the real property, per Local Rule 7.18) Executor (Reimburse): \$13,856.33	SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES
_	Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc.	(for costs related to preservation or property, cure foreclosure, taxes, filing fee, as set forth on Amended Exhibit D)	
~	Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters 1/22/		
	Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt	Local Rule 7.18) Costs: \$4,227.00 (\$1,800 in filing fees, \$1,027 in CourtCall fees, \$503 other costs including publication, certified copies)	
>	CI Report 9202 Order	Closing: \$1,500.00	
	Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation	Petitioner states heir Donald Brazeal is indebted to the estate in the sum of \$7,787.00 and the personal representative is entitled to recoup the bequest otherwise due to him sums not exceeding	Reviewed by: skc Reviewed on: 8/8/16 Updates: Recommendation:
~	FTB Notice	this amount. Petitioner's Amended Declaration filed 7/25/16 states during the decedent's life, she administered the rental of the Tollhouse home to the decedent's son, Donald Brazeal (Petitioner's brother), receiving rental payments and using those funds to pay the mortgage. SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES	File 6- Brazeal

Page 2 – Petitioner states: After the decedent's death, Petitioner continued to administer the rental, and accounted for those funds received and expended after issuance of Letters as part of the First and Second Accounts. At the time Letters were issued, Donald was paying \$890/month on an unwritten rental agreement and was occupying the Tollhouse home and paying the utilities. He last paid rent on 3/18/13. On 5/7/13, he filed for bankruptcy and received protection of the automatic stay from attempts to collect overdue rent. He continued to occupy the home through his bankruptcy proceeding, which ended 8/19/13 by entry of an order discharging him of his debts.

On 9/23/13, he paid \$900 to the estate for unpaid rent. On 11/14/13, he gave notice that he had vacated the house and promised to clear out his personal possessions that weekend. Petitioner retained a broker to list the property and received an estimate for repair of damage for \$325.00. However, he did not clear the property of his possessions, and Petitioner had to rent a dumpster for \$400.00 as reported on Schedule E.

In 2014, Petitioner was informed that water and utilities had been suspended after Donald's occupancy due to unpaid charges. The attorney advanced \$240.24 for utilities and \$156.74 to PG&E, which Petitioner believes were sums incurred during his occupancy. See Schedule E.

Therefore, the estate has a claim against Donald Brazeal for the following sums:

Unpaid rent from 4/13/13-11/14/13 @ \$890/month: \$6,665.00

Physical damage: \$325.00Unpaid utilities: \$397.00Dumpster rental: \$400.00

Total: \$7,787.00

Petitioner states Donald Brazeal is an heir of the decedent. Sums available for distribution to each of the six named heirs are approx. \$1,977.66 each. Petitioner requests authority to recoup from the distribution a portion of the above claim, leaving no distribution of funds to Donald Brazeal until the estate has recouped the total sum of \$7,787.00.

Points and Authorities in Support of Petition to Recoup Sums Due to the Estate from the Bequest to Donald Brazeal filed 6/29/16 states:

- 1. The Declaration of Dyanna Matthews shows Donald Brazeal to be indebted to the estate.
- 2. The personal representative must recoup the debt of Donald Brazeal by exercising the right of retainer against his bequest.
- 3. The bankruptcy discharge of Donald Brazeal does not defeat the right of the retainer with respect to his pre-bankruptcy debt.

Petitioner concludes that the personal representative is allowed a right of retainer as to the sum of \$7,787.00 against any sum otherwise to be distributed to Donald Brazeal.

See P&A for authority cited.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

Case No. 12CEPR00837

Page 3 – Proposed distribution:

Pursuant to Amendment filed 7/25/16, without recoupment of the amount from Donald Brazeal, the six heirs are each entitled to \$1,977.66.

Petitioner proposes to recoup the losses to the estate from the \$1,977.66 otherwise due to Donald Brazeal and distribute the \$11,865.98 to the other five heirs in the amount of \$2,373.19 each, and to receive authority from the Court to distribute unexpended reserves and subsequent recoveries to the other five heirs until the total sum of \$7,787 is recouped from Donald Brazeal, who shall then share pro rata with the other heirs in any additional recovery.

Distribution pursuant to Decedent's will and Petitioner's above proposal is as follows:

Deborah Diehl: \$2,373.19 Marsha Steinhauer: \$2,373.19 Margaret Draper: \$2,373.19 Medeia Maquis: \$2,373.19 Dyanna Matthews: \$2,373.19

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

- 1. The attorney requests reimbursement for numerous CourtCall appearances totaling \$1,027.00. Pursuant to Local Rule 7.17, such costs are considered by the Court to be part of the cost of doing business and not reimbursable. Therefore, the Court may disallow reimbursement of this amount from the estate.
- 2. The statutory fee calculation appears to include sums that should not be included as "receipts":

 Petitioner includes \$4,280 in receipts from the First Account; however, \$325 was a reverse of a
 - disbursal error, and \$385 were advances from the personal representative for which she is now seeking reimbursement. Therefore, the actual receipts from the First Account for purposes of statutory fee calculation are \$3,570.00.
 - Petitioner includes \$68.83 in receipts from the Second Account; however, \$45 were reversed bank charges; therefore, the actual receipts from the Second Account are \$23.83.

The above corrections result in a fee base of \$134,655.37 rather than \$135,411.00, and statutory fees totaling \$5,039.66 rather than \$5,062.00 (about a \$22 difference, \$44 total for both).

3. The Court may require recalculation of the amounts due to the heirs based on #1 and #2 above, and revised order.

Attorney

Status RE: Filing of the Second Account

	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	,
	OFF CALENDAR Second Account filed 8/2/16 is set for hearing on 9/13/16
Aff.Sub.Wit.	
Verified	
Inventory	
PTC	
Not.Cred.	
Notice of Hrg	
Aff.Mail	
Aff.Pub.	
Sp.Ntc.	
Pers.Serv.	
Conf. Screen	
Letters	
Duties/Supp	
Objections	
Video	
Receipt	
CI Report	
9202	
Order	
Aff. Posting	Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt	Reviewed on: 8/8/16
UCCJEA	Updates:
Citation	Recommendation:
FTB Notice	File 7- Valencia

Attorney

Waite, Liana M. (Attorney Administrator with Will Annexed)
Status RE: Filing of the First Account and/or Petition for Final Distribution

DOD: 9/26/13		LIANA M. WAITE, Daughter, was appointed	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		Administrator with Will Annexed with Full IAEA without bond on 7/15/14. Letters issued	Minute Order 4/27/16: Ms.
		7/17/14.	Waite represents that she is
	mt from 001/15	-	waiting for the decedent's
	ont. from 091615, 1616, 042716	At the hearing on 7/15/14, the Court set this	client cases to be resolved,
<u> </u>	Aff.Sub.Wit.	status hearing re filing the first account or petition for final distribution.	which are being worked on by attorney Gary Hill. The Court
	Verified		orders that if the petition is not
	Inventory	Status Report filed 4/20/16 states Attorney	filed before 8/10/16, then both
	PTC	Barbara A. Sena had several Workers' Compensation client cases which were	Ms. Waite and Mr. Hill are to file declarations that include
	Not.Cred.	pending at the time of her death. The	information regarding all the
	Notice of	Administrator notified the active clients of her	remaining cases that have not
	Hrg	passing and the cases have been transferred	yet settled at least two court
	Aff.Mail	to the respective legal representatives	days prior to 8/10/16.
	Aff.Pub.	accordingly. The Administrator has not acted as the legal representative for any of the	Need first account or
	Sp.Ntc.	decedent's clients. The Administrator has	petition for final distribution
	Pers.Serv.	recently been advised that some of the	pursuant to Probate Code
	Conf.	workers' compensation client cases are	§12200.
	Screen Letters	nearing settlement, and therefore will pursue attorney liens on behalf of the estate once	,
	Duties/Supp	these cases have settled. These client cases,	,
	Objections	along with the federal and state tax liens	,
	Video	and/or liabilities, must be resolved prior to	,
	Receipt	preparation of a petition for final distribution.	
	CI Report	Status Report filed 8/8/16 states worker's	
	9202	compensation attorney Gary Hill is currently	
	Order	representing six former clients regarding their	
	Aff. Posting	work-related claims filed with the Fresno	Reviewed by: skc
<u> </u>	Status Rpt	Workers' Compensation Appeals Board. Administrator provides the states of each and	Reviewed on: 8/5/16
	UCCJEA	states she has been advised by the attorney	Updates: 8/8/16
	Citation	that some cases are nearing settlement. The	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice	Administrator will pursue attorney liens on	File 8 - Sena
		behalf of the estate once the cases have	
		been settled. These client cases, along with tax liens and/or liabilities, must be resolved	
		prior to preparation of a petition for final	
		distribution. Administrator hereby requests	
		continuance for six months.	

9 Montserat Patino (GUARD/E) Case No. Attorney: Catherine A. Amador (for Petitioner Maria Guadalupe Valencia)

Petition for Withdrawal of Funds from Blocked Account

			MARIA GUADALUPE VALENCIA,	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
-			mother/guardian, is petitioner.	NEEDS/TROBLEMS/COMMENTS.
			The triangle of the control of	
-			Petitioner requests withdrawal of	Note: If a Request for Dismissal
	1.1		\$6,500.00 from the blocked account	(Judicial Council form CIV-110) were
	nt. from 11021	5,	for the purchase of a used car and a	timely filed this matter would come
120	0215		laptop.	off calendar.
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Current balance of the blocked	
√	Verified		account is \$12,633.55.	
	Inventory		decom 13 \$12,000.00.	
	PTC		Minute order dated 12/2/15 continued	
	Not.Cred.		the laptop portion of the request to	
1	Notice of		12/9/15 and the request to purchase a	
*	Hrg		vehicle to 8/10/16.	
1	Aff.Mail	W/	Op 10/0/15 the Count outle offer d	
		<u> </u>	On 12/9/15 the Court authorized \$1,000.00 only for the purchase of a	
	Aff.Pub.		laptop.	
	Sp.Ntc.		100100	
<u> </u>	Pers.Serv.		Status Conference Statement filed on	
	Conf.		7/22/16 states her client investigated	
	Screen		the cost of obtaining a driver's license	
	Letters		for the minor prior to her 18th birthday,	
	Duties/Supp		along with the cost of insuring a driver	
	Objections		under age 18. She determined that	
	Video		these costs, when added to the cost of purchasing a reliable car, made the	
	Receipt		proposition much more expensive	
	CI Report		than it will be after the minor is 18. She	
	9202		decided it was not a good idea to	
1	Order		spend substantially more in order to	
	Aff. Posting		give the minor the ability to drive as a	Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt		teen, especially when she has the ability to use the City bus to get to and	Reviewed on: 8/5/16
	UCCJEA		from school.	Updates:
	Citation		110111 3011001.	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		For that reason, Ms. Amador's client	File 9 – Patino
			instructed her to withdraw the petition.	
			Her client also requested Ms. Amador	
			to request that the August 10 hearing	
			be taken off calendar if at all possible.	

Attorney

Teixeira, J. Stanley (for Administrator Manuel Avila Mendoza)

Status RE: Filing of the Inventory and Appraisal

DOD: 9/7/15	MANUEL AVILA MENDOZA, Son, was	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	appointed Administrator with Full IAEA	
	with bond of \$160,000.00 on 3/9/16.	1. Need Inventory and Appraisal
	Bond was filed 3/28/16 and Letters	pursuant to Probate Code §8800.
Cont. from	issued on 4/15/16.	
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified	At the hearing on 3/9/16, the Court set	
Inventory	this status hearing re the filing of the	
PTC	Inventory and Appraisal.	
Not.Cred.	Status Report filed 8/2/16 states the I&A	
Notice of	has been provided to the Probate	
Hrg	Referee for appraisal, but has not yet	
Aff.Mail	been received by the attorney. Once	
Aff.Pub.	received, it will be filed.	
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf.		
Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt		
CI Report	_	
9202	_	
Order	<u>_</u>	
Aff. Posting	_	Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt	4	Reviewed on: 8/8/16
UCCJEA	4	Updates:
Citation	1	Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 10- Salazar

11A Roger Emery Winrow (CONS/PE)

Case No. 16CEPR00402

Attorney: Heather H Kruthers (for Public Guardian - Temporary Conservator) Attorney: Deborah K. Boyett (for Clementina Winrow – Spouse/Petitioner)

Attorney: Stanley J. Teixeira (court-appointed for Conservatee)

Attorney: Leigh W. Burnside (for Bobbie Palmer and Paulette Nash – Objectors)

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Person and Estate

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Person and Estate			
	TEMPORARY (PG) EXPIRED 7/14/2016	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
	CLEMENTINA WINROW, spouse, is	See competing petition on page 11B.	
	petitioner and requests appointment as conservator of the person and estate	Court Investigator advised rights 5/12/16.	
Cont. from 052516, 063016, 070616, 071416 Aff.Sub.Wit. ✓ Verified Inventory PTC	without bond, with medical consent powers. PUBLIC GUARDIAN was appointed temporary conservator of the person and estate on 4/26/2016.	Background: PG was appointed temporary conservator of the person and estate on 4/26/2016. At the general hearing on 5/25/2016, matter was continued to the date of the competing petition on 6/30/2016 and also set for a settlement conference on 6/21/2016.	
Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. w/	See petition for details.	At the 6/21/2016 settlement conference, Attorney Johnson (for Bobbie Palmer and Paulette Nash) stated the summary of the settlement agreement in open court and stated she would submit a stipulated settlement for signature. The matter was set for a status hearing on the settlement agreement for 6/30/2016	
✓ Conf. Screen ✓ Letters ✓ Duties/Supp ✓ Objections		(the same date of the general hearing). The 6/30/2016 general and status hearings were continued to 7/6/2016. On 7/6/2016, counsel had not received all the signatures needed and the general and status hearings were	
✓ Video Receipt		continued to 7/14/2016 and again to 8/10/2016.	
✓ CI Report		A stipulation regarding settlement was	
9202		filed on 8/1/2016 along with a proposed order.	
✓ Order		See additional page.	
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: SEF	
Status Rpt	1	Reviewed on: 8/8/2016	
UCCJEA		Updates:	
✓ Citation		Recommendation:	
FTB Notice		File 11A- Winrow	
		11 A	

11A Roger Emery Winrow (CONS/PE) Page 2

Case No. 16CEPR00402

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS, continued:

1. Declaration filed 5/16/2016 (errata to petition) by Clementina Winrow is not verified by petitioner.

Note: If the petition is granted, the Court will set status hearings as follows:

- Tuesday, December 13, 2016 for filing the Inventory and Appraisal
- Tuesday, October 10, 2017 for filing the first account

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required documents are filed 10 days prior to the hearings on the matter the status hearing will come off calendar and no appearance will be required.

11B Roger Emery Winrow (CONS/PE)

Case No. 16CEPR00402

Attorney: Heather H Kruthers (for Public Guardian - Temporary Conservator)

Attorney: Deborah K. Boyett (for Clementina Winrow – Spouse) Attorney: Stanley J. Teixeira (court-appointed for Conservatee)

Attorney: Leigh W. Burnside (for Bobbie Palmer and Paulette Nash – Mother/sister - Petitioners)

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Person and Estate

	Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Person and Estate			
			TEMPORARY (PG) EXPIRED 7/14/2016	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	ont. from 063016 0616, 071416 Aff.Sub.Wit.),	BOBBIE PALMER and PAULETTE NASH, mother and sister, are petitioners and request appointment as co-conservators of the person and estate with \$173,800 bond, with medical consent powers. – see note 3 PUBLIC GUARDIAN was appointed	Background: PG was appointed temporary conservator of the person and estate on 4/26/2016. At the general hearing on 5/25/2016, matter was continued to the date of the competing petition on 6/30/2016 and
1	Verified		temporary conservator of the person and	also set for a settlement conference on 6/21/2016.
	Inventory		estate on 4/26/2016.	At the 6/21/2016 settlement
	PTC			conference, Attorney Johnson (for
-	Notice of			Bobbie Palmer and Paulette Nash) stated the summary of the settlement
_	Hrg		See petition for details.	agreement in open court and stated
1	Aff.Mail	W		she would submit a stipulated settle- ment for signature. The matter was set
	Aff.Pub.	/		for a status hearing on the settlement agreement for 6/30/2016 (the same
	Sp.Ntc.			date of the general hearing).
1	Pers.Serv.	w /		The 6/30/2016 general and status hearings were continued to 7/6/2016.
1	Conf. Screen			On 7/6/2016, counsel had not received all the signatures needed
1	Letters			and the general and status hearings
✓	Duties/Supp			were continued to 7/14/2016 and again to 8/10/2016.
	Objections			A stipulation regarding settlement was
	Video Receipt	Х		filed on 8/1/2016 along with a proposed order.
		Χ		proposed order.
	9202			
		Х		See additional page.
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: SEF
\parallel	Status Rpt UCCJEA			Reviewed on: 8/8/2016 Updates:
1	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 11B- Winrow
				11D

11B Roger Emery Winrow (CONS/PE) Page 2

Case No. 16CEPR00402

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS, continued:

Petitioner:

- 1. Proof of service of *Citation* indicates it was served on Attorney Teixeira (for conservatee). Need proof of personal service of *Citation* on proposed conservatee at least 15 days prior to the hearing.
- 2. Need video viewing receipt for:
 - a. Bobbie Palmer
 - b. Paulette Nash
- 3. Petitioner requests bond of \$173,800.00. If petition is granted, bond is required of **\$182,600.00**, which includes cost of recovery. (Probate Code 2320 states except as otherwise provided by statute, every person appointed as conservator shall, before letters are issued, give a bond approved by the Court.)
- 4. Need Orders.

Note: If the petition is granted, the Court will set status hearings as follows:

- Tuesday, September 13, 2016 for filing of the bond
- Tuesday, December 13, 2016 for filing the Inventory and Appraisal
- Tuesday, October 10, 2017 for filing the first account

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required documents are filed 10 days prior to the hearings on the matter the status hearing will come off calendar and no appearance will be required.

11C Roger Emery Winrow (CONS/PE)

Case No. 16CEPR00402

Attorney: Heather H Kruthers (for Public Guardian - Temporary Conservator)

Attorney: Deborah K. Boyett (for Clementina Winrow – Spouse) Attorney: Stanley J. Teixeira (court-appointed for Conservatee)

Attorney: Leigh W. Burnside (for Bobbie Palmer and Paulette Nash – Mother/sister)

Probate Status Hearing re: Status on Settlement Agreement

	TEMPORARY (PG) EXPIRED 7/14/2016	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	PUBLIC GUARDIAN was appointed temporary conservator of the person and estate on 4/26/2016.	A stipulation regarding settlement was filed on 8/1/2016
Cont. from 063016, 070616, 071416	<u>Background:</u> Competing petitions were filed for appointment of conservator of the person and	along with a proposed order.
Aff.Sub.Wit.	estate with medical treatment consent powers,	
Verified	by CLEMENTINA WINROW (spouse) and BOBBIE	
Inventory	PALMER and PAULLETTE NASH (mother and	
PTC	sister).	
Not.Cred.	The Public Guardian (PG) was appointed	
Notice of	temporary conservator of the person and estate	
Hrg	on 4/26/2016.	
Aff.Mail	Minute order dated 5/25/2016 continued matter	
Aff.Pub.	to the date of the competing petition	
Sp.Ntc.	(6/30/2016) and set for settlement conference	
Pers.Serv.	on 6/21/2016.	
Conf.		
Screen	Minute order dated 6/21/2016 from settlement conference states that Ms. Johnson stated the	
Letters	summary of the settlement agreement in open	
Duties/Supp	court. Counsel will submit a stipulated settlement	
Objections	for signature. Matter was set for 6/30/2016 for	
Video	status on the settlement agreement and	
Receipt	continued to 7/6/2016, 7/14/2016 and 8/10/2016.	
CI Report		
9202		
Order		D
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: SEF
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 8/8/2016
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 11C- Winrow

11C

12 Jacey Hisel, Jia Hisel (GUARD/P)

Case No. 16CEPR00611

Petitioner: Rebecca Pantoya Ramirez (Pro per – Maternal grandmother)

Petitioner: Jaime Lyn Rodriquez (Pro per – Maternal aunt)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

		NO TEMPORARY REQUESTED	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			,
		REBECCA PANTOYA RAMIREZ and JAIME	
		LYN RODRIGUEZ, maternal grandmother and maternal aunt, are petitioners.	
Cont. from		and majorial dom, are permeners.	
Aff.Sub.Wit.		Court Investigator report filed 8/4/2016.	
✓ Verified			
Inventory			
PTC		6 177 6 1 - 1 - 1	
Not.Cred.		See petition for details.	
✓ Notice of Hrg			
✓ Aff.Mail	w/		
Aff.Pub.			
Sp.Ntc.			
Pers.Serv.			
✓ Conf.			
Screen			
✓ Letters			
✓ Duties/Supp			
Objections			
Video			
Receipt			
✓ CI Report			
9202			
✓ Order			
Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: SEF
Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 8/8/2016
√ UCCJEA			Updates:
Citation			Recommendation:
FTB Notice			File 12- Hisel

13 Jordan Chelsea Ray (GUARD/P)

Case No. 16CEPR00612

Petitioner Washington, Danielle (Pro Per – Maternal Aunt)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Initial)

Age: 15 years	TEMPORARY EXPIRES 8/10/2016	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	DANIELLE WASHINGTON , maternal aunt, is petitioner.	
	DANIELLE WASHINGTON , Malemardoni, is pelilloner.	
Cont. from	Please see petition for details	
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
✓ Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of n/a		
Aff.Mail n/a		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv. n/a		
✓ Conf.		
Screen		
✓ Letters		
✓ Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt		
✓ CI Report		
9202		
✓ Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: LV
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 08/08/2016
✓ UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 13- Ray

14 Ehlie Hull, Ezekiel Hull, Nyeosha Hull (GUARD/P) Case No. 16CEPR00613

Petitioner Hull, Demesha (Pro Per – Sister)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

	"	
	TEMPORARY EXPIRES 8/10/2016	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Ehlie Age: 11 Ezekiel Age: 5 Nyeosha Age: 12 Cont. from Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen	TEMPORARY EXPIRES 8/10/2016 DEMESHA HULL, sister, is petitioner Please see petition for details	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: 1. Need proof of personal service fifteen (15) days prior to the hearing of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of Guardian or consent and waiver of notice or declaration of due diligence for: • Demetrius Hull (Father) • Tina Hull (Mother) • Nyeosha Hull (Minor) 2. Notice of Hearing filed 06/29/2016 is defective as it does not include the correct hearing date on the general petition. Therefore, need proof of service fifteen (15) days prior to the hearing of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of
Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. × ✓ Conf.	= = = = =	prior to the hearing of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of
Video Receipt ✓ CI Report 9202	_	 All siblings over the age of 12
✓ Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: LV
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 08/08/2016
✓ UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 14- Hull
		1.4

15A Benjamin H. Smith (Estate)

Case No. 16CEPR00673

Attorney Attorney Janisse, Ryan Michael (for Petitioners Rodney G. Smith and Michael Smith, Sr.) Krbechek, Randolf (for Objector Michael Smith, Jr., aka Butch Smith)

Petition for Letters of Special Administration with General Powers

DO	D: 6/28/14	RODNEY G. SMITH and MICHAEL H. SMITH, SR., Sons, are Petitioners and request that RODNEY G. SMITH (sic) be appointed as Special Administrator with general powers without bond.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS: Note: Although #2b
>	Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred.	Petitioners state they have priority of appointment as the sons of the decedent and nominate MARION AUSTIN, a licensed professional fiduciary, to serve. Petitioners state they are the sole heirs and waive bond.	requests appointment of Rodney G. Smith, elsewhere in the document Petitioners identify MARION AUSTIN as their nominee for Special Administrator. Ms. Austin consents to
> >	Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail	General powers – ok Petitioners state decedent died intestate.	serve as both Special Administrator and Administrator.
	Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf.	Residence: Fresno Publication: Fresno Business Journal	Note: On 6/23/16, the Public Guardian's Amended First and Final Account and Report of
> >	Screen Letters Duties/Supp	Estimated value of estate: Personal property: \$ 5,000.00 Annual income: \$ 30,000.00 Real property: \$450,000.00	Conservator for Dorothy Jean Smith was continued to 8/25/16 for the filing of a probate.
	Objections Video Receipt CI Report	Total: \$485,000.00 Probate Referee: Rick Smith	Note: Separately on 6/23/16, the Public Guardian's First and Final
>	9202 Order	Petitioners state prior to the decedent's death, he was conserved. His conservatorship was consolidated with his wife's, Dorothy Dean Smith, who is also deceased and was conserved before her death under Case No. 11CEPR00782.	Account and Report of Conservator for Benjamin H. Smith was continued to 8/25/16 for the filing of a probate.
	Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice	Currently pending before this Court is an Amended First and Final Account and Report of Conservator in Dorothy's conservatorship estate and a First and Final Account and Report of Conservator in Decedent's conservatorship. Both petitions seek the approval of conservator Public Guardian's accounting and the distribution of the	Reviewed by: skc Reviewed on: 8/8/16 Updates: Recommendation: File 15A- Smith
		conservatorship estate assets. <u>SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES</u>	

15A Benjamin H. Smith (Estate)

Page 2 – Petitioners state (Cont'd):

Petitioner Rodney G. Smith, joined by his brother and co-petitioner Michael H. Smith, Sr., seek to compel the distribution of the Decedent's conservatorship estate to his probate estate. In order to accomplish this, Decedent's estate must be opened and a personal representative appointed in order to receive the conservatorship estate assets.

Petitioners seek the appointment of professional fiduciary Marion Austin as Administrator; however, special letters are sought so that there is immediately someone appointed who can receive the conservatorship assets in the event an order to distribute the assets is entered before appointment of Marion Austin as Administrator.

Concurrently with the filing of this petition, Petitioners seek the appointment of Marion Austin as Administrator and Special Administrator of Dorothy's estate. In addition, Petitioners are challenging the decedent's estate plan. Petitioners expect the litigation regarding Ben's estate plan could take upward of 12-18 months to litigate through trial. During such time the estates' properties need to be managed in efficient and professional manner.

The appointment of a neutral party who is a professional licensed fiduciary is necessary to safeguard the estate and preserve the assets. Currently, Michael "Butch" Smith, Jr., the grandson of the decedent, purports to be the sole heir of Ben's estate (via his trust). The estate plan was executed years after Ben was diagnosed with dementia and during a time when Butch was isolating Ben.

Petitioner understands that the distrust among Butch, on the one hand, and Michael and Rodney, on the other hand, make having either side (or both sides) responsible for managing the properties pending the dispute an untenable situation.

General powers are needed and sought so that the Special Administrator has full power and authority to manage both Decedent's and Dorothy's estate pending the litigation.

On 8/1/16, Michael H. Smith, Jr., aka Butch Smith, filed Objections to:

- 1) Petition for Letters of Administration;
- 2) Appointment of Rodney G. Smith as Special Administrator; and
- 3) Appointment of Marion Austin as Administrator.

<u>Note re Objection</u>: Objector consolidated his objections to <u>both</u> the Petition for Letters of Administration and the separate Petition for Letters of Special Administration into one document, and in doing so, paid only one filing fee. The Court may require the Objections to be amended to be separately filed and payment of a separate filing fee for each.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

Page 3 – Objections filed 8/1/16: Objector provides procedural status and synopsis of the two conservatorship proceedings and states the original will dated 8/1/11 was deposited into 14CEPR00600. Mr. Jaech is the attorney who prepared the decedent's will dated 8/1/11. For unknown reasons, Petitioners failed to inform the Court of the existence of the will dated 8/1/11. Instead, Petitioners informed the Court that the decedent was intestate.

Objector is the named executor in the will dated 8/1/11 and is entitled to contest the appointment of Marion Austin as Administrator and Rodney Smith as Special Administrator.

Objector states there is no need for appointment of a special administrator. Nothing can happen in the decedent's estate until the Court has heard the objection filed by Butch Smith because the Public Guardian is holding the assets! (Emphasis in original.)

Further, given the history of the two conservatorship estates, there is good reason to believe the petitioners herein will not act in the best interest of the estate of the decedent, but will instead favor the interests of the Estate of Dorothy Jean Smith.

In this regarding, contestant is the only person who responded to the two pending petitions filed by the Public Guardian. Petitioners filed no objection regarding the proposed distribution from the conservatorship estates because the petitions for distribution filed by the Public Guardian favor them as beneficiaries of the Estate of Dorothy Jean Smith.

Critically, Petitioners failed to identify decedent's will, or bring such will to the attention of the Court. The Court may consider such omission as lack of candor on the part of Petitioners.

There is no reason to appoint a third party administrator. Butch Smith has proven his continuing ability to protect the assets of the Ben Smith estate against adverse claims. Butch Smith is the named executor of the decedent's will. Neither of the petitioners are so named.

Objector states Rodney Smith should not be appointed Special Administrator because Objector as named executor has a superior right to appointment, and there is no exigency that requires appointment of a special administrator. Objector states Rodney Smith as agent of petitioners will take actions that favor the beneficiaries of the Estate of Dorothy Jean Smith at the expense of the beneficiaries of the Estate of Benjamin H. Smith.

Objector states Marion Austin should not be appointed Administrator because Objector as named executor has a superior right to appointment, and as agent of Petitioners, she will take actions that favor the beneficiaries of the Estate of Dorothy Jean Smith at the expense of the beneficiaries of the Estate of Benjamin H. Smith.

Objector prays: 1) that Rodney G. Smith not be appointed as special administrator; 2) that Marion Austin not be appointed Administrator with Full IAEA; 3) for costs of suit incurred herein; and 4) for such other and further relief as the Court deems just, equitable, and proper.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

15A Benjamin H. Smith (Estate)

Case No. 16CEPR00673

Page 4 – NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

1. Petitioners are separately represented; however, this petition is not signed by Catherine A. Amador, attorney for Michael H. Smith, Sr.

<u>Note</u>: Pursuant to Probate Code §§ 8543 and 8481(b), notwithstanding waiver of bond beneficiaries, or on petition of any interested person or on its own motion, the court may for good cause require bond. The Court may require bond of \$485,000.00.

15B Benjamin H. Smith (Estate)

Case No. 16CEPR00673

Attorney Attorney Janisse, Ryan Michael (for Petitioners Rodney G. Smith and Michael Smith, Sr.) Krbechek, Randolf (for Objector Michael Smith, Jr., aka Butch Smith)

,

Petition for Letters of Administration; Authorization to Administer Under the IAEA

DOD: 6/28/14		RODNEY G. SMITH and MICHAEL H. SMITH, SR.,	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		Sons, are Petitioners and request that MARION AUSTON, a licensed professional fiduciary, be appointed as Administrator with Full IAEA without bond.	Note: Michael H. Smith, Jr., aka Butch Smith filed a competing petition for Probate of Will and for Letters
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Petitioners state they have priority of	Testamentary that is set for
>	Verified	appointment as the sons of the decedent	hearing on 9/13/16.
	Inventory	and nominate Marion Austin to serve.	Nata na Obia dia na Obia dan
	PTC	Petitioners state they are the sole heirs and	Note re Objection: Objector consolidated his objections to
	Not.Cred.	waive bond.	both the Petition for Letters of
~	Notice of		Administration and the
	Hrg	Full IAEA – ok	separate Petition for Letters of
~	Aff.Mail w		Special Administration into
~	Aff.Pub.	Petitioners state Decedent died intestate.	one document, and in doing
	Sp.Ntc.	Residence: Fresno	so, paid only one filing fee. The Court may require the
	Pers.Serv.	Publication: Fresno Business Journal	Objections to be amended to
	Conf.		be separately filed and
	Screen	Estimated value of estate:	payment of a separate filing
>	Letters	Personal property: \$ 5,000.00	fee for each.
~	Duties/Supp	Annual income: \$ 30,000.00	
~	Objections	Real property: \$450,000.00 Total: \$485,000.00	SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES
	Video	9463,000.00	SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES
	Receipt	Probate Referee: Rick Smith	
	CI Report		
	9202	On 8/1/16, Michael H. Smith, Jr., aka Butch	
>	Order	Smith, filed Objections to:	
	Aff. Posting	4) Petition for Letters of Administration;	Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt	5) Appointment of Rodney G. Smith as Special Administrator; and	Reviewed on: 8/8/16
	UCCJEA	6) Appointment of Marion Austin as	Updates:
	Citation	Administrator.	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		File 15B- Smith
		SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES	

Page 2 – Objections filed 8/1/16: Objector provides procedural status and synopsis of the two conservatorship proceedings and states the original will dated 8/1/11 was deposited into 14CEPR00600. Mr. Jaech is the attorney who prepared the decedent's will dated 8/1/11. For unknown reasons, Petitioners failed to inform the Court of the existence of the will dated 8/1/11. Instead, Petitioners informed the Court that the decedent was intestate.

Objector is the named executor in the will dated 8/1/11 and is entitled to contest the appointment of Marion Austin as Administrator and Rodney Smith as Special Administrator.

Objector states there is no need for appointment of a special administrator. Nothing can happen in the decedent's estate until the Court has heard the objection filed by Butch Smith because the Public Guardian is holding the assets! (Emphasis in original.)

Further, given the history of the two conservatorship estates, there is good reason to believe the petitioners herein will not act in the best interest of the estate of the decedent, but will instead favor the interests of the Estate of Dorothy Jean Smith.

In this regarding, contestant is the only person who responded to the two pending petitions filed by the Public Guardian. Petitioners filed no objection regarding the proposed distribution from the conservatorship estates because the petitions for distribution filed by the Public Guardian favor them as beneficiaries of the Estate of Dorothy Jean Smith.

Critically, Petitioners failed to identify decedent's will, or bring such will to the attention of the Court. The Court may consider such omission as lack of candor on the part of Petitioners.

There is no reason to appoint a third party administrator. Butch Smith has proven his continuing ability to protect the assets of the Ben Smith estate against adverse claims. Butch Smith is the named executor of the decedent's will. Neither of the petitioners are so named.

Objector states Rodney Smith should not be appointed Special Administrator because Objector as named executor has a superior right to appointment, and there is no exigency that requires appointment of a special administrator. Objector states Rodney Smith as agent of petitioners will take actions that favor the beneficiaries of the Estate of Dorothy Jean Smith at the expense of the beneficiaries of the Estate of Benjamin H. Smith.

Objector states Marion Austin should not be appointed Administrator because Objector as named executor has a superior right to appointment, and as agent of Petitioners, she will take actions that favor the beneficiaries of the Estate of Dorothy Jean Smith at the expense of the beneficiaries of the Estate of Benjamin H. Smith.

Objector prays: 1) that Rodney G. Smith not be appointed as special administrator; 2) that Marion Austin not be appointed Administrator with Full IAEA; 3) for costs of suit incurred herein; and 4) for such other and further relief as the Court deems just, equitable, and proper.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

Page 3 – NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

1. Petitioners state the decedent died intestate at #3e; however, an original will dated 8/1/11 was deposited with the Court on 7/17/14, which is requested to be admitted to probate by Objector in his competing petition. Need clarification.

<u>Note</u>: Pursuant to Probate Code §8481(b), notwithstanding waiver of bond by will or beneficiaries, or on petition of any interested person or on its own motion, the court may for good cause require bond. The Court may require bond of \$485,000.00.

If granted, the Court will set status hearings as follows:

- Wednesday, September 28, 2016 for filing of bond of \$485,000.00, if required
- Wednesday, January 11, 2017 for filing Inventory and Appraisal
- Wednesday, October 18, 2017 for filing the first account or petition for final distribution

16A Dorothy Jean Smith (Estate) Case No. 16CEPR00675

Attorney Janisse, Ryan Michael (for Petitioner Rodney G. Smith)
Attorney Amador, Catherine A. (for Petitioner Michael H. Smith, Sr.)

Petition for Letters of Special Administration with General Powers

DO	D: 10/18/12		RODNEY G. SMITH and MICHAEL H. SMITH, SR., Sons, are Petitioners and request that MARION AUSTON, a licensed professional fiduciary, be appointed as Special	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS:
			Administrator with general powers without bond.	Note: On 6/23/16, the Public
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Petitioner Michael H. Smith, Sr., is the named executor pursuant to the decedent's will.	Guardian's Amended First and Final Account and
	Verified Inventory PTC		Petitioners state they have priority of appointment as the sons of the decedent and nominate Marion Austin to serve.	Report of Conservator for Dorothy Jean Smith
~	Notice of Hrg		Petitioners state they are the sole heirs and waive bond.	was continued to 8/25/16 for the filing of a probate.
~	Aff.Mail	W	General powers – ok	Note: Separately
	Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc.		Will dated 10/24/11	on 6/23/16, the Public Guardian's
	Pers.Serv. Conf.		Residence: Kerman Publication: Fresno Business Journal	First and Final Account and
~	Screen Letters		Estimated value of estate:	Report of Conservator for
~	Duties/Supp Objections		Personal property: \$ 5,000.00 Annual income: \$ 30,000.00	Benjamin H. Smith was continued to
	Video Receipt		Real property: \$450,000.00 Total: \$485,000.00	8/25/16 for the filing of a probate.
	CI Report 9202		Probate Referee: Rick Smith	SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES
~	Order		Petitioners state prior to the decedent's death, she was	
	Aff. Posting Status Rpt		conserved. Her conservatorship was consolidated with her husband's BENJAMIN H. SMITH , who is also deceased and was conserved before his death under Case. No.	Reviewed by: skc Reviewed on: 8/8/16
	UCCJEA		11CEPR00782.	Updates: Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		Currently pending before this Court is an Amended First and Final Account and Report of Conservator in Decedent's conservatorship estate and a First and Final Account and Report of Conservator in Ben's conservatorship. Both petitions seek the approval of conservator Public Guardian's accounting and the distribution of the conservatorship estate assets. SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES	File 16A- Smith

Case No. 16CEPR00675

16A Dorothy Jean Smith (Estate)

Page 2 – Petitioners state (Cont'd):

Petitioner Rodney G. Smith, joined by his brother and co-petitioner Michael H. Smith, Sr., seek to compel the distribution of the Decedent's conservatorship estate to her probate estate. In order to accomplish this, Decedent's estate must be opened and a personal representative appointed in order to receive the conservatorship estate assets.

Petitioner seek the appointment of professional fiduciary Marion Austin as Administrator with Will Annexed; however, special letters are sought so that there is immediately someone appointed who can receive the conservatorship assets in the event an order to distribute the assets is entered before appointment of Marion Austin as Administrator with Will Annexed.

Furthermore, Petitioners are challenging Decedent's husband's estate plan. Concurrently with the filing of this petition, Petitioners seek the appointment of Marion Austin as Administrator and as Special Administrator of Ben's estate. Petitioners expect the litigation regarding Ben's estate plan could take upward of 12-18 months to litigate through trial. During such time Ben and Decedent's estate properties need to be managed in efficient and professional manner.

The appointment of a neutral party who is a professional licensed fiduciary is necessary to safeguard the estate and preserve the assets. Currently, Michael "Butch" Smith, Jr., the grandson of the decedent, purports to be the sole heir of Ben's estate (via his trust). The estate plan was executed years after Ben was diagnosed with dementia and during a time when Butch was isolating Ben.

Even though Petitioner Michael is the named executor under Decedent's will, he understands that the distrust among Butch, on the one hand, and Michael and Rodney, on the other hand, make having either side (or both sides) responsible for managing the properties pending the dispute an untenable situation.

General powers are needed and sought so that the Special Administrator has full power and authority to manage both Decedent's and Ben's estate pending the litigation.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

16A Dorothy Jean Smith (Estate)

Case No. 16CEPR00675

Page 3 – NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

- 2. Petitioners are separately represented; however, this petition is not signed by Catherine A. Amador, attorney for Michael H. Smith, Sr.
- 3. The decedent's will, which is requested to be admitted to probate at Page B, devises her estate to the Trustee of the Dorothy Jean Smith Living Trust; however, Petitioners do not state at #8 who the trustee or beneficiaries of that trust are. Therefore, need declaration setting forth this information and notice to any trust beneficiaries not listed, pursuant to #2 above.
- 4. Need waiver of bond from the Trustee of the Dorothy Jean Smith Living Trust, which is the sole heir per the decedent's will.

<u>Note</u>: Pursuant to Probate Code §§ 8543 and 8481(b), notwithstanding waiver of bond by will or all beneficiaries, or on petition of any interested person or on its own motion, the court may for good cause require bond. The Court may require bond of \$485,000.00.

Attorney Attorney Janisse, Ryan Michael (for Petitioner Rodney G. Smith) Amador, Catherine A. (for Petitioner Michael H. Smith, Sr.)

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters of Administration with Will Annexed; Authorization to Administer Under the IAEA

		DODNEY C. CANTH I ANGHAEL III CANTH CD. Carra	NEEDC (DDOC! EAAC /
DOD: 10/18/12		RODNEY G. SMITH and MICHAEL H. SMITH, SR., Sons,	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/
		are Petitioners and request that MARION AUSTON, a licensed professional fiduciary, be appointed as	COMMENTS:
		Administrator with Will Annexed with Full IAEA	
		without bond.	SEE PAGE 2
		williour boria.	SEL FAGE Z
	Aff.Sub.Wit. S/	Petitioner Michael H. Smith, Sr., is the named	
~	Verified	executor pursuant to the decedent's will.	
	Inventory	=	
	PTC	Petitioners state they have priority of appointment	
	Not.Cred.	as the sons of the decedent and nominate Marion Austin to serve.	
~	Notice of	Austin to serve.	
	Hrg	Petitioners state they are the sole heirs and waive	
~	Aff.Mail w	bond.	
~	Aff.Pub.	7	
	Sp.Ntc.	Full IAEA – ok	
	Pers.Serv.	Will dated 10/24/11	
	Conf.	- Tim dalod 10,21,11	
	Screen	Residence: Kerman	
>	Letters	Publication: Fresno Business Journal	
>	Duties/Supp		
	Objections	Estimated value of estate:	
	Video	Personal property: \$ 5,000.00 Annual income: \$ 30,000.00	
	Receipt	Real property: \$450,000.00	
	CI Report	Total: \$485,000.00	
	9202		
>	Order	Probate Referee: Rick Smith	
	Aff. Posting	Datition and state the selection of the second seco	Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt	Petitioners state the decedent and her husband, BENJAMIN H. SMITH were both conserved at the	Reviewed on: 8/8/16
	UCCJEA	time of their deaths (Consolidated Case No.	Updates:
	Citation	11CEPR00782). Petitioners are contesting Ben's will	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice	and trust. Since the conservatorship estates each	File 16B- Smith
		own undivided 50% interests in Decedent's and	
		Ben's assets, Petitioners seek to have Professional	
		fiduciary Marion Austin appointed so the properties	
		can be managed pending resolution of the	
		contest of Ben's estate plan. The estate properties	
		consist of rental properties that are producing	
		income.	

16B Dorothy Jean Smith (Estate)

Page 2 - NEED/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

- 1. Petitioners are separately represented; however, this petition is not signed by Catherine A. Amador, attorney for Michael H. Smith, Sr.
- 2. Need original will pursuant to Probate Code §8200.
- 3. The decedent's will devises her estate to the Trustee of the Dorothy Jean Smith Living Trust; however, Petitioners do not state at #8 who the trustee or beneficiaries of that trust are. Therefore, need declaration setting forth this information and notice to any trust beneficiaries not listed.

Case No. 16CEPR00675

- 4. Need waiver of bond from the Trustee of the Dorothy Jean Smith Living Trust, which is the sole heir per the decedent's will.
 - <u>Note</u>: Pursuant to Probate Code §8481(b), notwithstanding waiver of bond by will or beneficiaries, or on petition of any interested person or on its own motion, the court may for good cause require bond. The Court may require bond of \$485,000.00.
- 5. It appears the decedent resided within the city limits of the City of Kerman at her death; therefore, pursuant to Local Rule 7.9, publication should have been in the Kerman News rather than the Fresno Business Journal. The Court may require republication.

If granted, the Court will set status hearings as follows:

- Wednesday, September 28, 2016 for filing of bond of \$485,000.00, if required
- Wednesday, January 11, 2017 for filing Inventory and Appraisal
- Wednesday, October 18, 2017 for filing the first account or petition for final distribution.

17 Leona Marie Douthit (Estate)

Case No. 16CEPR00686

Attorney Gunner, Kevin D. (for Debra Gail Douthit – Petitioner – Daughter-in-Law)

Petition for Letters of Administration

DOD: 05/12/2016			DEBRA GAIL GOUTHIT, daughter-in-	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			law is petitioner and requests appointment as Administrator without bond.	Note: If the petition is granted status hearings will be set as follows:
Со	ont. from	1	All heirs nominate petitioner and	• Wednesday, 01/11/2017 at
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		waive bond	9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing of the inventory and appraisal
			Full IAEA – o.k.	and
	Inventory PTC		Residence: Fresno	• Wednesday, 10/11/2017 at
	Not.Cred.		Publication: The Business Journal	9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing
1	Notice of		Estimated value of the Estate:	of the first account and final distribution.
	Hrg Aff.Mail	w/o	Personal property - \$5,000.00	Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required
✓		W/O	Real property - \$200,000.00 Total - \$205,000.00	documents are filed 10 days prior to the
	Aff.Pub.		- \$205,000.00	hearings on the matter the status hearing
	Sp.Ntc.		Probate Referee: Steven Diebert	will come off calendar and no
-	Pers.Serv.			appearance will be required.
	Conf. Screen			
	Letters			
✓				
✓	Duties/Supp			
	Objections			
	Video			
	Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: LV
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 08/08/2016
	UCCJEA	1		Updates:
	Citation	1		Recommendation: Submitted
	FTB Notice			File 17- Douthit
				17

Attorney Nikole E. Cunningham (for Petitioners Jake Gallinetti and Kathryn Gallinetti)

Petition for Appointment of Conservator of the Person and Estate

			TEMPORARY GRANTED EX PARTE EXPIRES 7/12/2016, extended to 8/10/2016
Co	ont. from		JAKE GALLINETTI and KATHRYN GALLINETTI parents, are Petitioners
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		and request appointment as Co-
√	Verified		Conservators of the Person, and Co- Conservators of the Estate.
	Inventory		Consolvators of the Estate.
	PTC		~Please see Petition for details~
	Not.Cred.		
✓	Notice of Hrg		Court Investigator's Report filed
√	Aff.Mail	W/	7/28/2016.
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.	Χ	
✓	Conf.		
	Screen		
✓	Letters		
✓	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
✓	Video		
	Receipt		
✓	CI Report		
	9202		
✓	Order		
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
	Citation	Χ	
	FTB Notice		

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

<u>Note</u>: Amended Petition for Appointment of Conservator of the Person filed 7/26/2016 is set for hearing on **8/31/2016**.

Note: Because the Letters of Temporary Conservatorship expire on 8/10/2016, this hearing remains on calendar despite that an amended petition was filed and is set for hearing on 8/31/2016. The instant petition has not been fully reviewed (i.e., as to request for conservatorship estate), as it is superseded by the amended petition. Court records show notice has been served to CVRC for the 8/31/2016 hearing, such that the letters of temporary conservatorship need to be extended to 8/31/2016 to remain in place until the hearing on the amended petition.

 Proof of Personal Service filed 8/5/2016 is insufficient to provide the proposed Conservatee with notice as required. Need Citation for Conservatorship pursuant to Probate Code § 1823, and proof of personal service of the Citation on the proposed Conservatee with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator pursuant to Probate Code § 1824.

Reviewed by: LEG
Reviewed on: 8/8/16
Updates:
Recommendation:
File 18- Gallinetti

19 Terrance R. Alexander and Joyce Alexander Revocable Living Trust Case No. 16CEPR00694

Attorney Susan L. Pascuzzi (for Petitioner Joyce Alexander, Trustee)

Petition to Determine Assets of Decedent as Property of an Existing Trust

	rance DOD:		JOYCE ALEXANDER, Settlor and sole surviving	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
3/2	3/28/2016		Trustee, is Petitioner.	
-			Petitioner states:	
			• On 10/16/2008, TERRANCE R. ALEXANDER	
			and JOYCE ALEXANDER executed a	
Со	nt. from	I	Declaration of Trust entitled the TERRANCE R .	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		ALEXANDER AND JOYCE ALEXANDER	
✓	Verified		REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST (copy attached as Exhibit "A");	
	Inventory		 Under the terms of the Trust, TERRANCE R. 	
	PTC		ALEXANDER and JOYCE ALEXANDER, as	
	Not.Cred.		"Settlors," agreed and declared they had	
✓	Notice of		assigned, transferred and delivered to themselves as Trustees all assets listed on the	
	Hrg		Schedule A attached to the Declaration of	
✓	Aff.Mail	W/	Trust;	
	Aff.Pub.		Listed on the Trust Schedule A is all "right, Hit and the trust of A C	
	Sp.Ntc.		title and interest in and to the stock of A-C ELECTRIC CO. , a California corporation;"	
	Pers.Serv.		Settlors executed a Bill of Transfer whereby	
	Conf.		they conveyed, transferred and assigned to	
	Screen		themselves as Co-Trustees of the Trust all of	
	Letters		their right, title and interest in and to the	
	Duties/Supp		stock of A-C ELECTRIC CO. , a California	
	Objections		corporation (copy of Bill of Transfer attached as Exhibit "B");	
	Video		However, the Bill of Transfer was not	
	Receipt		presented to the corporation and the stock	
	CI Report		not formally transferred on the books of the	
<u> </u>	9202		corporation prior to the death of TERRANCE	
✓	Order		R. ALEXANDER; the corporation is now requiring a court confirmation that the stock	
	Aff. Posting		is an asset of the Trust;	Reviewed by: LEG
	Status Rpt		On the date of death of TERRANCE R.	Reviewed on: 8/8/16
	UCCJEA		ALEXANDER, aka TERRANCE RICHARD	Updates:
	Citation		ALEXANDER , he individually, rather than as	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		Trustee of the Trust, owned 3373 shares of	File 19- Alexander
			stock in A-C ELECTRIC CO ;	
			~Please see additional page~	

19 Additional Page, Terrance R. Alexander and Joyce Alexander Revocable Living Trust Case No. 16CEPR00694

Petitioner states, continued:

- The intent to hold in trust the stock in **A-C ELECTRIC CO** is evidenced by the fact it was specifically listed on the *Schedule A* and a Bill of Transfer was executed to cause the stock to be transferred on the books and records of the corporation to the Trust;
- Decedent died testate, his Will making the Trust the beneficiary of his estate (copy attached as Exhibit C.)

Petitioner prays for an order that:

- 1. **TERRANCE R. ALEXANDER**, during his lifetime, with **JOYCE ALEXANDER**, as Settlors and as Trustees, created a revocable living trust by Declaration of Trust dated 10/16/2008;
- 2. JOYCE ALEXANDER became the sole acting Trustee upon the death of TERRANCE R. ALEXANDER;
- 3. That despite the **3373** shares of stock in **A-C ELECTRIC CO**., a California corporation, being owned by **TERRANCE R. ALEXANDER** aka **TERRANCE RICHARD ALEXANDER**, individually, the stock in **A-C ELECTRIC CO**., is as an asset of the Trust.

Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Petition to Determine Assets of Decedent as Property of an Existing Trust filed 7/1/2016.

Attorney: Steven M. Matlak (for Alec Bolderoff – Petitioner)

Spousal or Domestic Partner Property Petition

DOD: 3/2/2016			ALEC BOLDEROFF , surviving spouse, is petitioner	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
			No other proceedings	1. Declarations of error filed	
			Decedent died intestate	7/27/2016 and 8/8/2016 are not verified by the petitioner.	
Co	nt. from		Petitioner states (per petition and declaration	Further, both declarations	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		of error filed 7/27/2016) decedent and	change material facts and	
√	Verified		petitioner were married on 6/14/1964 and at the time of decedent's death on 3/2/2016,	request relief different from that of the original pleading.	
	Inventory		had been married 51 years. Decedent and	Therefore, these appear to be	
	PTC		petitioner had one child who died on	amendments to the original	
	Not.Cred.		9/5/1994. They had no other children. The	pleading and require the same notice of hearing as the	
✓	Notice of Hrg		property described in the petition listed as separate property was property received by decedent from inheritance. Pursuant to the	pleading they amend, pursuant to CRC 7.53(a).	
✓	Aff.Mail	w/	laws of intestate succession, petitioner is	Need proof of service of	
	Aff.Pub.		entitled to an undivided one-half (1/2) interest	declarations with at least 15 days notice on all parties	
	Sp.Ntc.		in the separate property.	entitled to notice.	
	Pers.Serv.		Since the date of their marriage, decedent	 Need proof of service of 	
	Conf.		and petitioner have resided in the State of	Notice of Hearing on	
	Screen		California and accumulated all their property from marital earnings of the parties. No legal	decedent's intestate heirs as	
	Letters		proceedings were ever instituted to terminate	follows:	
	Duties/Supp		the marriage or to determine the rights of the	a. Jack Klistoff (brother)	
	Objections		parties to their community property nor did the	b. Nadya Klistoff (niece –	
	Video		parties ever separate.	issue of Alex Klistoff)	
	Receipt		Petitioner requests court determination that	3. Item 9 of petition lists	
	CI Report		decedent's 1/2 separate property interest in	Alex Klistoff as a predeceased brother of decedent. Need	
	9202		real property located in Kerman and 50%	date of death pursuant to	
✓	Order		community property interest, including checking and savings accounts, CDs,	Local Rule 7.1.1D.	
			annuities, etc., passes to him. He further		
			requests court confirmation that petitioner's		
	Aff. Posting		50% community property interest checking	Reviewed by: SEF	
	Status Rpt		and savings accounts, CDs, annuities, etc.,	Reviewed on: 8/8/2016	
	UCCJEA		belongs to him.	Updates:	
	Citation		In addition, petitioner requests access to	Recommendation:	
	FTB Notice		decedent's safe deposit box and the right to	File 20- Bolderoff	
			remove any and all contents held in in the name of Stella Bolderoff.		
				20	

Attorney: Robyn L. Esraelian (for Timothy J. Garcia – Petitioner)

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary with IAEA

executor without bond, is petitioner. Full IAEA – o.k. Full IAEA – o.k. Holographic will dated 11/6/1987 Proof of Holographic Instrument V Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. V Aff.Mail V Aff.Mail Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen V Letters V Dutles/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 V Order	DOD: 2/19/2016			TIMOTHY J. GARCIA, son/named	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Cont. from ✓ Proof of Holographic Instrument ✓ Verified Estimated value of estate: Personal property \$ 600,000.00 Annual gross income: \$ 6,000.00 Volice of Petn Admn ✓ Aff.Mail W/ ✓ Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen ✓ Letters ✓ Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 ✓ Order Holographic will dated 11/6/1987 Residence: Fresno Publication: Fresno Business Journal Residence: Fresno Residence: Fresno Publication: Fresno Business Journal Residence: Fresno Residence: Fresno Publication: Fresno Business Journal Residence: Fresno Residence: Fresno Rule 7.1.1D. Note: If granted, the Court will set status hearings as follows: • Tuesday, January 10, 2017 for filling Inventory and Appraisal • Tuesday, October 10, 2017 for filling the first account or petitify for final distribution Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required documents are filled 10 days prior to the hearings on the matter the status hearing will confort to the hearings on the matter the status hearing will confort to the hearings on the matter the status hearing will confort to the hearings on the matter the status hearing will confort to the hearings on the matter the status hearings are filled 10 days prior to the hearings on the matter the status hearings are filled 10 days prior to the hearings on the matter the status hearings are filled 10 days prior to the hearings on the matter the status hearings are filled 10 days prior to the hearings are fille	233.2/17/2010			• •	
Cont. from ✓ Proof of Holographic Instrument ✓ Verified Estimated value of estate: Personal property \$ 600,000.00 Annual gross income: \$ 6,000.00 Real property: \$ 0.00 ✓ Notice of Peth Admn ✓ Aff.Mail W/ ✓ Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen ✓ Letters ✓ Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 ✓ Order					
Cont. from				Full IAEA – o.k.	l
✓ Proof of Holographic Instrument Residence: Fresno Publication: Fresno Business Journal Residence: Fresno Publication: Fresno Business Journal ✓ Verified Estimated value of estate: Personal property \$ 600,000.00 Note: If granted, the Court will set status hearings as follows: PTC Not.Cred. Personal property \$ 600,000.00 Tuesday, January 10, 2017 for filling Inventory and Appraisal ✓ Notice of Peth Admn Vaff.Mail W/ Probate Referee: Rick Smith Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Pers.Serv. Probate Referee: Rick Smith Video Receipt CI Report CI Report Probate Referee: Rick Smith Video Receipt CI Report Quoties/Supp Order	Со	nt. from		Holographic will dated 11/6/1987	' '
Instrument	1	Proof of			l '
Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Personal property \$600,000.00 Annual gross income: \$6,000.00 Annual gross income:					
Inventory				Publication: Fresno Business Journal	
Inventory	1	Verified		Estimated value of estate:	
PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Petn Admn ✓ Aff.Mail W/ ✓ Aff.Pub. Probate Referee: Rick Smith		Inventory			
Noticed		PTC		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Petn Admn		Not.Cred.		Real property: \$ 0.00	, , , ,
✓ Aff. Mail W/ ✓ Aff. Pub. Probate Referee: Rick Smith Sp. Ntc. Pers. Serv. Conf. Screen Screen ✓ Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 ✓ Order Order for final distribution Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required documents are filed 10 days prior to the hearings on the matter the status hearing will comoff calendar and no appearance will be required.	1	Notice of		Total: \$ 606,000.00	
✓ Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen ✓ Letters ✓ Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt C1 Report 9202 ✓ Order Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required documents are filed 10 days prior to the hearings on the matter the status hearing will comoff calendar and no appearance will be required.		Petn Admn			
✓ Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen ✓ Letters ✓ Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 ✓ Order Probate Referee: Rick Smith required documents are filed 10 days prior to the hearings on the matter the status hearing will com off calendar and no appearance will be required. Video Receipt Order	✓	Aff.Mail	w/		
Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen ✓ Letters ✓ Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 ✓ Order	1	Aff.Pub.			
Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen ✓ Letters ✓ Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 ✓ Order		C. NII.		Probate Referee: Rick Smith	·
Conf. Screen ✓ Letters ✓ Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 ✓ Order		-			matter the status hearing will come
Screen ✓ Letters ✓ Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 ✓ Order					off calendar and no appearance
✓ Letters ✓ Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 ✓ Order					will be required.
Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 ✓ Order	1				
Video Receipt CI Report 9202 ✓ Order	1	Duties/Supp			
Receipt CI Report 9202 ✓ Order		Objections			
CI Report 9202 ✓ Order		Video			
9202		Receipt			
✓ Order		CI Report			
		9202			
	/	Order			
Aff. Posting Reviewed by: SEF		Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: SEF
Status Rpt Reviewed on: 8/8/2016		Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 8/8/2016
UCCJEA Updates:		UCCJEA			Updates:
Citation Recommendation:					
FTB Notice File 21- Garcia		FTB Notice			File 21- Garcia

22 Felicity Tobias (GUARD/P)

Case No. 16CEPR00794

Petitioner Donez, Margarita Pearl (Pro Per – Maternal Cousin) Petitioner Garcia, Justin (Pro Per – Maternal Cousin)

Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person

Ac	e: 3 mos.	GENERAL HEARING 09/29/2016	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
7.9		<u> </u>	NEEDS, I ROSELINO, CONTINENTO.
		MARGARITA PEARL DONEZ, and JUSTIN	1. Need Notice of Hearing.
		GARCIA, maternal cousin, is petitioner.	
Cont. from		Places and polition for details	2. Need proof of personal service five
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Please see petition for details	(5) days prior to the hearing of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy
✓	Verified		of the Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian or consent and
	Inventory		waiver of notice or declaration of
	PTC		due diligence for:
	Not.Cred.		Father (Unknown)
	Notice of X		Andrina Tobias (Mother)
	Hrg		3. Need Duties of Guardian, Mandatory
	Aff.Mail		Judicial Council Form GC-248.
	Aff.Pub.		Petitioners filed Duties of Conservator
	Sp.Ntc.		and Acknowledgment of Receipt of
	Pers.Serv. X		Handbook for Conservators.
1	Conf. Screen		
✓	Letters		
	Duties/Supp x		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
✓	Order		
	Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: └∨
	Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 08/08/2016
✓	UCCJEA		Updates:
	Citation		Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		File 22- Tobias

Attorney: Julie C. Jones (for Petitioner Taisha Paggett)

Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person

			GENERAL HEARING 9/22/16	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
				Continued from 8/4/16. Minute order
			TAISHA PAGGETT, sister, is petitioner.	states Counsel is to file a declaration
Cont. from 080416		4	Please see petition for details.	of due diligence no later than noon
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			on 8/9/16. – Declaration of Due Diligence filed on 8/5/16.
✓	Verified			Bingerice med on 0/3/10.
	Inventory			1. Need Notice of Hearing.
	PTC			2. No ed pro ef ef personal continue of
	Not.Cred.			2. Need proof of personal service of the Notice of Hearing along with
	Notice of	Χ		a copy of the temporary petition
-	Hrg Aff.Mail			on:
	Aff.Pub.			a. Kapria Armstrong (mother) –
	Sp.Ntc.			unless the Court dispenses with notice.
	Pers.Serv.	Χ		Will Holles.
✓	Conf.			
	Screen			
✓	Letters			
✓	Duties/Supp			
	Objections			
	Video Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 8/5/16
✓	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 23- Paggett